

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,449	06/20/2005	Shinsuke Sugata	KAS.070	6811	
48234	7590 08/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC 673 S. WASHINGTON ST			ROSS, DANA		
	INGTON ST IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· 8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,449	SUGATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dana Ross	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	TION.	DAYS,			
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, cause the application to become ABAN	IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
, _ 	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acco	epted or b)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05. 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
. apa(0/			·			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6,161,995 (Wakazono et al., hereafter '995) in view of US Pat. No. 5,564,483 (Sacchi, hereafter '483).

Regarding claims 7, 10, 12 and 14, '995 teaches a work processing method and apparatus including horizontal spindle (main shaft) horizontally separated from a vertical rotation support shaft (inherent) for rotating the rotatable table 212 which holds worktable 211 having a lateral rectangular shape in the plan view (see figure 13 and col. 12, lines 1-13, for example).

'995 does not disclose the specific limitations of the slanted side of the workpiece table as claimed.

'483 teaches a workpiece table with a rectangle shape in the plan view and a right angled triangle in a top view (see figure 1) fitted with work grip rotation feed mechanism portion 13 to opposite ends of a slant surface portion (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 7, 8 and 10, Examiner notes that the work support axis of the work grip rotation feed mechanism is separated from an axis of the vertical rotation support shaft depending on the location of the rotation of the workpiece and table.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Regarding claims 9, 11 and 13, as can be seen in figure 1 of '483, the incline of the stand is at least 15 degrees.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify machine tool with a generic worktable 211 with a lateral rectangular shape in the plan view as taught by '995 with the specific worktable (see figure 1 of '483) as taught by '483 for the purpose of utilizing a plurality of worktables incorporated about a single element thus realizing a compact and safe structure such as will allow also of minimizing down times (see '483, col. 2, lines 23-39, for example).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Ross whose telephone number is 571-272-4480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dana Ross Examiner Art Unit 3722

dmr